Mississippi Secretary of State 700 North Street P. O. Box 136, Jackson, MS 39205-0136

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE	S NOTICE FILING					
AGENCY NAME Mississippi State Oil & Gas Board		CONTACT PERSON Howard O. Leach		TELEPHONE NUMBER 1-601-576-4921		
ADDRESS 500 Greymont Avenue – Suite E		CITY Jackson		STATE MS	ZIP 39202	
EMAIL hleach@ogb.state.ms.us	SUBMIT DATE 02/11/15		26 Mississippi Administrative Code, Pt. II, R. 1.6 ("Well Signage – Identification of Well and Restrictions to Access")			
Short explanation of rule/amendment	repeal and reason	s) for proposing rule/amendm	ent/repeal:			
Amend existing Statewide Rule 1.6 to prescribe	additional marking or sig	gnage requirements for flowlines. MC	CA Sec. 53-1	-17(3) (1972)		
List all rules repealed, amended, or su	spended by the pro	posed rule: 26 Miss. Administr	rative Code,	Pt. II, R. 1.6 (" <u>W</u>	/ell Signage))	
ORAL PROCEEDING:						
x An oral proceeding is scheduled f	or this rule on Date	: <u>March 18, 2015</u> Time: <u>10:00</u>	o'clock, A.	M. Place: He	earing	
Room, Miss. State Oil & Gas Board, 50	O Greymont Avenue	, Suite E, Jackson, Mississippi				
Presently, an oral proceeding is no	t scheduled on this	rule.		27		
If an oral proceeding is not scheduled, an oral pten (10) or more persons. The written request notice of proposed rule adoption and should in agent or attorney, the name, address, email adcomment period, written submissions including ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:	should be submitted to t clude the name, address, dress, and telephone nur	he agency contact person at the above emall address, and telephone number nber of the party or parties you repres	e address within r of the person ent. At any tin	n twenty (20) days a (s) making the requ ne within the twent	Ifter the filing of this est; and, if you are an y-five (25) day public	
Economic impact statement not re	quired for this rule.	x Concise summary of e	economic im	pact statement	attached.	
TEMPORARY RULES	TEMPORARY RULES PROPOSED ACTION ON RULES Date Proposed Rule Filed:					
Original filing	Action propo		Action take	en:		
Renewal of effectiveness To be in effect in days	New r	ndment to existing rule(s)	Adopted with no changes in text Adopted with changes			
Effective date:	Repea	l of existing rule(s)	(s) Adopted by reference			
Immediately upon filing Other (specify):		clon by reference Withdrawn al effective date: Repeal adop			anasad	
Other (specify).	Other (specify): 30 day		Repeal adopted as proposed Effective date:		oposed	
x Other (speci			30 days after filing			
Effective 45 da		ays after approval	Oth	er (specify):	-	
Printed name and Title of person a Signature of person authorized to		iles: Howard O. Leach, Staf	ff Attorney			
	DO NOT	WRITE BELOW THIS LINE				
	1.0000000	ICIAL FILING STAMP	o	FFICIAL FILING	STAMP	
	67-65	EB 1 1 2015 SSISSIPPI		-		
		ARY OF STATE				
Accepted for			Accented	for filing by		
#2102			Accepted	ioi iiiiig by		

The entire text of the Proposed Rule including the text of any rule being mended or changed is attached.



DELBERT HOSEMANN Secretary of State

CONCISE SUMMARY OF ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

An Economic Impact Statement is required for this proposed rule by Section 25-43-3.105 of the Administrative Procedures Act. This is a Concise Summary of the Economic Impact Statement which must be filed with the Secretary of State's Office.

AGENCY NAME Mississippi State Oil & Gas Board	CONTACT PERSON Howard O. Leach (Staff Attorney)		TELEPHONE NUMBER (601) 576-4921	
ADDRESS 500 Greymont Avenue – Suite E	CITY Jackson		STATE MS	ZIP 39202
EMAIL hleach@ogb.state.ms.us	DESCRIPTIVE TITLE OF PROPOSED RULE 26 Mississippi Administrative Code, Pt. II, R. 1.6 ("Well Signage – Identification of Well and Restrictions to Access")			
Specific Legal Authority Authorizing the promulgation of Rule: MCA Sec. 53-1-17(3) (1972)		Reference to Rules repealed, amended or suspended by the Proposed Rule: 26 Miss. Administrative Code, Pt. II, R. 1.6 ("Well Signage")		

A. Estimated Costs and Benefits

- 1. Briefly summarize the benefits that may result from this regulation and who will benefit: The approval of the proposed amendments to Statewide Rule 1.6 will add new marking and signage requirements applicable to oil and gas and/or saltwater flow lines. These additional marking and signage requirements will accomplish several purposes. First, it will facilitate the ability of landowners, oilfield workers and oilfield contractors, and the public generally, to more readily identify the owners/operators of oilfield flowlines. Secondly, it will facilitate the ability of this agency's Field Inspectors and other regulatory enforcement personnel in carrying out their responsibilities by making it easier to locate such flowlines and to identify the persons who operate these flowlines. Finally, it will assist first-responders (fire, local law enforcement, emergency medical personnel, etc.) in the event they have to respond to fires, spills or other emergencies involving these flowlines by providing up-to-date contact information regarding the owners/operators of these facilities.
- 2. Briefly describe the need for the proposed rule: Statewide Rule 1.6 currently imposes marking and signage requirements on oil and gas wells, storage tanks, tank batteries, compressors, public and/or private roads and field or lease roads leading to or providing entry or access to well locations and related E&P facilities. Statewide Rule 1.6 currently imposes no similar marking or signage requirements on oilfield flow lines. The approval of these proposed amendments and revisions to Statewide Rule 1.6 will correct that deficiency.
- 3. Briefly describe the effect the proposed action will have on the public health, safety, and welfare: The public health, safety and welfare will be enhanced by the approval

of the proposed amendments. The installation of proper <u>marking</u> and <u>signage</u> on these flowlines will make it easier for first-responders to locate these flowlines and to identify the operators of these flowlines in the event of fires, explosions or other emergencies. In addition, these marking or signage requirements will provide first-responders with vital <u>contact information</u> regarding the operators in the event of emergencies.

4.		ated Cost of implementing proposed action:
	a.	To the agency
	3	☐ Nothing X☐ Minimal ☐ Moderate ☐ Substantial ☐ Excessive
	b.	To other state or local government entities
		X Nothing Minimal Moderate Substantial Excessive
5.	Estima	ated Cost and/or economic benefit to all persons directly affected by the
		sed rule:
	c.	Cost:
	ن	Nothing X Minimal Moderate Substantial Excessive
	a.	Economic Benefit:
		Nothing X Minimal Moderate Substantial Excessive
6.	Estima	ated impact on small businesses:
		☐ Nothing X☐ Minimal ☐ Moderate ☐ Substantial ☐ Excessive
	a.	Estimate of the number of small businesses subject to the proposed regulation: 50-60
	b.	Projected costs for small businesses to comply: The estimated costs to oil and
		gas operators to comply with these additional marking or signage
		requirements for flowlines will be minimal, probably less than \$1,000.00 in
		most cases. The added costs will be minimal when compared to the enhanced
		public safety which will result from these flow lines being properly marked
		and identified through an adequate signage program.
	c.	Statement of probable effect on impacted small businesses: The approval of
		the proposed amendments will ultimately benefit oil and gas operators by
		properly identifying flow lines which they operate and providing first-
		responders with up-to-date contact information in the event of emergencies
		(fires, explosions, etc.)
7.	The co.	st of adopting the rule compared to not adopting the rule or significantly
•	amendi	ing the existing rule (check option):
	•••	substantially less than moderately less than minimally less than
		the same as X minimally more than moderately more than
		substantially more than excessively more than
0	ml 1	
8.		nefit of adopting the rule compared to not adopting the rule or significantly
	amendi	ing the existing rule (check option):
		substantially less than moderately less than minimally less than the same as minimally more than moderately more than
		X substantially more than excessively more than
		21_1 00000000000001 more dian _1 0x000017017 inote than

B. Reaso	nable Alternative Methods
1.	Other than adopting this rule, are there less costly or less intrusive methods for achieving the purpose of the proposed rule? yes X no
2.	If yes, please briefly describe available, reasonable alternative(s) and the reasons for rejecting those alternatives in favor of the proposed rule. (Please see §25-43-4.104 for factors you must consider.) Not applicable
C. Data a	nd Methodology
1.	Please briefly describe the data and methodology you used in making the estimates required by this form. The estimates and conclusions set forth above are based upon the MSO&GB's extensive experience over the past eighty (80) years in serving as Mississippi's oil and gas conservation agency. During that period this agency has permitted and regulated the day-to-day operations of thousands of oil and gas wells and related E&P facilities in this state.
D. Public	
1.	Where, when, and how may someone present their views on the proposed rule and request an oral proceeding on the proposed rule if one is not already scheduled? The MSO&GB will conduct a <u>public hearing</u> in these rule-making proceedings commencing at 10:00 o'clock, A. M. on March 18, 2015, in the Hearing Room of the MSO&GB located at 500 Greymont Avenue, Suite E, Jackson, Mississippi. In addition, written comments and/or formal docket contests may be filed with the agency up until 5:00 o'clock, P. M. on Tuesday, March 10, 2015.

SIGNATURE Howard O. Leach	TITLE Staff Attorney (MSO&GB)
DATE February 11, 2015	PROPOSED EFFECTIVE DATE OF RULE
	45 days following approval

TOTAL CONTRACTOR OF THE SECOND STATES